



Ada 2005 for Real-Time, Embedded and High-Integrity Systems

Jose F. Ruiz <ruiz@adacore.com>
Senior Software Engineer

Ada Deutschland Software Workshop 2008
Karlsruhe, 24th January, 2008

Outline of the presentation

- **Ada**
 - For embedded high-integrity real-time systems

- **Ada 2005**
 - The Ravenscar tasking profile
 - Flexible real-time scheduling algorithms
 - CPU clocks and timers
 - Timing events
 - Flexible object-oriented features

Ada for High-Integrity Applications

- **Ada promotes safety / reliability**
 - Readability
 - Compile-time checking (strong typing)
 - Encapsulation and data abstraction
 - Deterministic language semantics (ISO Standard)
 - Implementation must document effect where language semantics offers flexibility
- **Support modern software engineering techniques**
 - High abstraction level constructions integrated within the language
 - tasking, OOP, templates, modularity, data abstraction and encapsulation, ...
 - General design philosophy promotes sound software engineering
- **Specific features**
 - Real-Time and High-Integrity Annexes
 - Language subsets
- **Guidelines documents**
 - *Guide for Ada in High-Integrity Systems* (an ISO Technical Report)
 - *Guide for Ada Ravenscar Profile in High-Integrity Systems*

Ada for Real-Time Systems

- **Concurrency**
 - Within the language
 - Avoid error-prone low-level constructions
 - Well-defined semantics for scheduling
 - Safe / efficient mutual exclusion
 - Avoidance of unbounded priority inversion
 - Ravenscar profile
 - Restricted set of tasking features amenable to schedulability analysis and certification
- **Asynchrony**
 - Asynchronous events / event handlers
 - Connection with interrupts
 - Asynchronous Transfer of Control
 - Timeout
 - Task termination
 - Preemptive task abortion
 - Asynchronous task control
- **Time**
 - Support for high-resolution monotonic clock and absolute and relative delays

Ada for Embedded Systems

- **Specific Annex for low-level support**
 - Access to hardware-specific features
- **Access to machine operations**
 - Assembly and intrinsic subprograms
- **Representation support**
 - Address, alignment, size, layout
- **Shared variable control**
 - Atomic, volatile,...
- **Storage management**
 - Specific storage pools
 - User-defined managers that may be placed in specific memory regions, and that may be suitable for real-time systems because they can be made predictable

What is New in Ada 2005?

- **The Ravenscar profile**
- **Task elaboration and finalization**
 - Partition elaboration policy for high-integrity systems (atomic elaboration)
 - Task termination procedures
- **Restriction pragmas**
 - *No_Relative_Delay, Max_Entry_Queue_Length,...*
- **Time and clocks**
 - Timing events
 - Execution time clocks
 - Execution time budgets
 - for task groups also
- **Scheduling**
 - New dispatching policies
 - Non-preemptive, round robin, Earliest Deadline First (EDF)
 - Dynamic ceiling priorities
 - Priority Specific dispatching
- **Object-Oriented Programming**
 - Interfaces
 - Object notation

The Ravenscar Profile

- **A subset of the Ada tasking model**
- **Defined to meet safety-critical real-time requirements**
 - Determinism
 - Schedulability analysis
 - Memory-boundedness
 - Execution efficiency and small footprint
 - Suitability for certification
- **State-of-the-art concurrency constructs**
 - Adequate for most types of real-time software

The Ravenscar Profile (II)

- **Set of tasks / interrupts to be analyzed is fixed and has static properties**
 - Tasks, protected objects only at library level
 - No dynamic allocation of tasks or protected objects
 - Each task is infinite loop
 - single “triggering” action (delay or event)
- **Memory usage is deterministic**
 - Tasks descriptors and stacks are statically created at compile time
 - No implicit heap usage
- **Program execution is deterministic**
 - Simple protected objects
 - at most one entry, at most one caller queued
 - Task creation and activation is very simple and deterministic
 - Tasks created at initialization, then activated and executed according to their priority

The Ravenscar Tasking Model

- **A single processor**
- **A fixed number of tasks**
- **Single invocation event per task**
 - Time-triggered or event-triggered
- **Task interaction using shared data**
 - Mutual exclusive access
- **Remove constructions difficult to analyse**
 - No asynchronous control, no abort, ...

Most violations detected at compile time

The Ravenscar Tasking Model (II)

- **Scheduling policy**
 - Preemptive fixed-priorities
- **Locking policy**
 - Ceiling priority for bounding priority inversion
- **Remove non-deterministic constructions**
 - No relative delays, no task termination, no abort, ...

Supports sound real-time development techniques,
such as Rate Monotonic Analysis and
Response Time Analysis

Example: Cyclic tasks

```
task body Cyclic is  
  Period : constant Time_Span := Seconds (1);  
  Next_Activation : Time := Clock;  
begin  
  loop  
    delay until Next_Activation;  
    -- Do something  
    Next_Activation := Next_Activation + Period;  
  end loop;  
end Cyclic;
```

```
task body Cyclic_With_Deadline is  
  Period : constant Time_Span := Seconds (1);  
  Next_Activation : Time := Clock;  
begin  
  loop  
    delay until Next_Activation;  
    Next_Activation := Next_Activation + Period;  
    select  
      delay until Next_Activation;  
      -- Notify missed deadline  
    then abort  
      -- Do something  
    end select;  
  end loop;  
end Cyclic_With_Deadline;
```

Real-Time Scheduling

- **Ada 95 provides**
 - Complete and well defined set of language primitives for Fixed Priority Scheduling

- **Ada 2005 allows new schemes**
 - Non-preemptive
 - Round Robin
 - Earliest Deadline First (EDF)
 - Mixed policies within a partition

Timing Events

- **A means of defining code that is executed at a future point in time**
 - Efficient stand-alone timer
- **Does not need a task**
 - Executed directly in the context of the interrupt handler
 - Reduce the number of
 - tasks in a program
 - Context switches
- **Similar in notion to interrupt handling**
 - Time itself generates the interrupt
- **Useful for**
 - Short time-triggered procedures
 - Imprecise computation

Example: Task deadlines with timing events

```

protected Watchdog is
  pragma Interrupt_Priority (Interrupt_Priority'Last);
  procedure Timeout (Event : in out Timing_Event);
  entry Is_OK;
private
  Panic : Boolean := False;
end Watchdog;

protected body Watchdog is
  procedure Timeout (Event : in out Timing_Event) is
  begin
    -- Alarm !!!
    Panic := True;
  end Timeout;
  entry Is_OK when Panic is
  begin
    -- Panic mode activated
    Panic := False;
  end Is_OK;
end Watchdog;

```

```

task body Cyclic_With_Deadline is
  Period : constant Time_Span := Seconds (1);
  Next_Activation : Time := Clock;
  Deadline_Event : Timing_Event;
  Alarm_Cancelled : Boolean;
begin
  loop
    delay until Next_Activation;
    Next_Activation := Next_Activation + Period;
    Set_Handler
      (Event => Deadline_Event,
       At_Time => Next_Activation,
       Handler => Watchdog.Timeout'Access);
    select
      Watchdog.Is_OK;
      -- Notify missed deadline
    then abort
      -- Do something
      -- Notify end of computation
      Cancel_Handler
        (Deadline_Event, Alarm_Cancelled);
    end select;
  end loop;
end Cyclic_With_Deadline;

```

Execution Time Support

- **Monitor and control task execution time**
 - Every task has an execution time clock
 - Fire an event when a task execution time reaches a specified value
 - Useful in high-integrity (fault tolerant) applications for detecting
 - Wrong WCET estimations
 - Software errors
- **Allocate and support budgets for groups of tasks**
 - Useful for some scheduling policies, such as those for aperiodic servers

Example: Iterative computation

```
task body Iterative_Task is
  Stop_Time : CPU_Time :=
    Ada.Execution_Time.Clock + Milliseconds (10);
begin
  while
    Ada.Execution_Time.Clock < Stop_Time
  loop
    -- Do something
  end loop;
end Iterative_Task;
```

```
task body Iterative_Task_2 is
  ID : aliased Task_ID := Current_Task;
  Budget_Manager : Timer (ID'Access);
begin
  Set_Handler
    (Budget_Manager, Milliseconds (10),
    Overrun.Timeout'Access);
  select
    Overrun.Stop_Task;
  then abort
  loop
    -- Do something
  end loop;
  end select;
end Iterative_Task_2;
```

```
protected Overrun is
  entry Stop_Task;
  procedure Timeout (TM : in out Timer);
private
  Budget_Overrun : Boolean := False;
end Overrun;

protected body Overrun is
  entry Stop_Task when Budget_Overrun is
  begin
    -- Budget overrun
    Budget_Overrun := False;
  end Stop_Task;
  procedure Timeout (TM : in out Timer) is
  begin
    -- Stop computation
    Budget_Overrun := True;
  end Timeout;
end Overrun;
```


Example: Budgets

```

protected Overrun is
  entry Stop_Task;
  procedure Handler (TM : in out Timer);
private
  Budget_Overrun : Boolean := False;
end Overrun;

protected body Overrun is
  entry Stop_Task when Budget_Overrun is
  begin
    -- Budget overrun
    Budget_Overrun := False;
  end Stop_Task;
  procedure Handler (TM : in out Timer) is
  begin
    -- We have a problem
    Budget_Overrun := True;
  end Handler;
end Overrun;

```

```

task body Cyclic_With_Budget is
  Period : constant Time_Span := Seconds (1);
  Next_Activation : Time := Clock;
  ID : aliased Task_ID := Current_Task;
  Budget_Manager : Timer (ID'Access);
  Alarm_Cancelled : Boolean;
begin
  loop
    delay until Next_Activation;
    Next_Activation := Next_Activation + Period;
    Set_Handler
      (TM      => Budget_Manager,
       At_Time => Next_Activation,
       Handler => Overrun.Handler'Access);
    select
      Overrun.Stop_Task;
      -- Notify missed deadline
    then abort
      -- Do something
      -- Notify end of computation
    Cancel_Handler
      (Budget_Manager, Alarm_Cancelled);
    end select;
  end loop;
end Cyclic_With_Budget;

```

Safe Object Oriented Programming

- **Type extension and inheritance**
 - Powerful
 - Cover most object-oriented design methods
 - Code reuse, programming by extension, etc.
 - Fine for safety-critical systems
- **Dynamic dispatching**
 - Actual flow of control not known statically
 - Worrisome for safety-critical system
- **Controlling dynamic dispatching**
 - Avoid class-wide types
 - In Ada, methods are statically bound by default
 - Enforced by a language-defined restriction (*No_Dispatch*)
 - Each operation declare explicitly whether it is intended to inherit

Abstract interfaces

- **Limited form of multiple inheritance**

- Java-like

Multiple inheritance of specifications, and
single inheritance of implementation

- **Extends the Java model**

- Protected, task, and synchronized interfaces
 - abstraction that can be implemented either with an active task or with a passive monitor
 - Seamless integration between OO and multi-tasking features

- **Much of the power of multiple inheritance**

- Without most of the implementation and semantic difficulties

Example: Interface

```
type Person is interface;  
function Name (This : Person) return Name_Type is abstract;  
function Gender (This : Person) return Gender_Type is abstract;  
  
type Worker is interface;  
function Name (This : Worker) return Name_Type is abstract;  
function Salary (This : Worker) return Natural is abstract;  
  
type Employee is new Person and Worker with  
  record  
    Name : Name_Type;  
    Sex   : Gender_Type;  
    Wage : Natural;  
  end record;  
  
function Name (This : Employee) return Name_Type;  
function Gender (This : Employee) return Gender_Type;  
function Salary (This : Employee) return Natural;
```

Example: Synchronized interface

```
type Processing_Entity is task interface;  
procedure Replicate (This : Processing_Entity) is abstract;  
  
type Buffer is synchronized interface;  
procedure Put (This : in out Buffer; Item : Element) is abstract;  
procedure Get (This : in out Buffer; Item : out Element) is abstract;  
  
task type Server_Buffer is new Processing_Entity and Buffer with  
  entry Replicate;  
  entry Put (Item : Element);  
  entry Get (Item : out Element);  
end Server_Buffer;
```

Conclusions

- **Increasing need for safe programming**
 - Ada has an impressive track record in avionics, train control, other safety-critical domains
 - Ada is being considered in new domains

- **Ada 2005 addresses the needs of the real-time and high-integrity communities**
 - Expressive, even in safety-critical subsets
 - Safe tasking
 - Safe OOP
 - Flexible
 - New scheduling policies, new capabilities and features
 - High-level abstractions, but ...
 - Deterministic
 - Time analyzable